A NATIONAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

1998-2002

of the
Yale-New Haven
Teachers Institute
CONTENTS

A NATIONAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

in

Pittsburgh
Houston
Albuquerque
Irvine-Santa Ana

1999

© 1999 by Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute.
CONTENTS

A NATIONAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

in

Pittsburgh
Houston
Albuquerque
Irvine-Santa Ana

1999

© 1999 by Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute.
CONTENTS

Aims of the Project .......................................................... 1
Establishing the Project .................................................... 3
A League of Teachers Institutes ........................................ 7
   Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute ............................... 8
   Pittsburgh Teachers Institute ...................................... 10
   Houston Teachers Institute ......................................... 11
   Albuquerque Teachers Institute ................................. 12
   UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute .............................. 13
Communication and Dissemination .................................. 14
Documentation and Evaluation ....................................... 16
   Internal Documentation and Evaluation ..................... 16
   External Evaluation .................................................. 17
Desired Outcomes ....................................................... 18
Expansion and Affiliation .............................................. 20
Appendix: Basic Principles ............................................ 21
Contact Information .................................................... 27
AIMS OF THE PROJECT

The National Demonstration Project, supported by a four-year grant of $2.5 million from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, aims to demonstrate the feasibility of adapting at other sites the approach to teachers’ professional development that has been followed for more than two decades by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute.

From its beginning in 1978, the overall purpose of the Teachers Institute has been to strengthen teaching and learning in local schools and, by example, in schools across the country. It places equal emphasis on teachers’ increasing their knowledge of a subject and on their developing teaching strategies that will be effective with their students. At the core of the program is a series of seminars on subjects in the humanities and sciences. Topics are suggested by the teachers based on what they think could enrich their classroom instruction. In the seminars Yale faculty contribute their knowledge of a subject, while the New Haven teachers contribute their expertise in elementary and secondary school pedagogy, their understanding of the students they teach, and their grasp of what works in the crucible of the classroom. Successful completion of a seminar requires that the teachers, with guidance from a Yale faculty member, each write a curriculum unit to be used in their own classroom and to be shared with others in the same school and other schools through both print and electronic publication.

Throughout the seminar process teachers are treated as colleagues. Unlike conventional university or professional development courses, Institute seminars involve at their very center an exchange of ideas among teachers and Yale faculty members. The teachers admitted to seminars, however, are not a highly selective group, but rather a cross-section of those in the system, most of whom, like their urban counterparts across the country, did not major in one or more of the subjects they teach. The Institute’s approach assumes that urban public school teachers can engage in serious study of the field and can devise appropriate and effective curricula based on this study.

The National Demonstration Project includes four other sites where school systems serve a significant number of students from low-
income communities. The Teachers Institute has awarded three-year Implementation Grants to: Chatham College and Carnegie Mellon University, in partnership with the Pittsburgh Public Schools; the University of Houston, in partnership with the Houston Independent School District; the University of New Mexico, in partnership with the Albuquerque Public Schools; and the University of California at Irvine, in partnership with the Santa Ana Unified School District. At each site the magnitude and the pattern of needs and resources differ from those in New Haven; and yet at each site significant opportunities exist for devising an appropriate scope and local strategies that, without departing from the basic principles of the Teachers Institute, can meet those needs.

ESTABLISHING THE INSTITUTE

During 1997, with the support of Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, explored the feasibility and developed model for Teachers Institutes at a number of sites. The Institute included James R. Vivian, Director; DeWitt Wallace, Chairman; and members from Yale University, Harvard University, and an administrator from the University of California, where Dr. Vivian had been Institute Fellows; and teams of teachers and administrators drawn from the school districts in the states of California, Michigan. The Teachers Institute has received requests for assistance from hundreds of schools and colleges in the state of California, and the Institute has responded by adapting its model, and to begin fulfillment of the mission and the goal of participation by those sites.

Those visits and correspondences of the Site Planning Team to conclude the Institute and the demonstration project, led to the conclusion that for the first time a demonstration project to achieve a nationwide establishment of Teachers Institutes could be conducted. The Site Planning Team, led by Charles B. Stober, helped to prepare a Report that was based on the criteria essential to the Institute model, and the Institute’s proposals for eight-month Planning
ESTABLISHING THE PROJECT

During 1997, with the support of a Planning Grant from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute explored the feasibility and desirability of establishing new Teachers Institutes at a number of sites. The Planning Team assisting in this effort included James R. Vivian, Director of the Institute; Carla Asher, Program Officer, DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund; faculty members from Yale University who had led Institute seminars; teachers and an administrator from the New Haven School system who had been Institute Fellows; and teachers, faculty members, and administrators drawn from the Albuquerque, New Mexico school system, the University of California at Irvine, and the University of Michigan. The Teachers Institute compiled a preliminary list of hundreds of schools and colleges from which, since 1978, it had received requests for assistance. It then surveyed 33 sites to determine their interest in adapting the Institute approach, sending to each both printed materials and videos to explain the nature and process of the Institute. It also developed a list of categories within which adaptations of the Institute should fall. Visits were then made to five sites in order to communicate the nature of the National Demonstration Project, to clarify and amplify the Institute’s understanding of the issues involved in adapting its model, and to begin to assess the desirability and feasibility of participation by those sites.

Those visits and correspondence with additional sites led the Planning Team to conclude that the time was right for the establishment of several demonstration projects committed to the principles of collaboration that the Institute had developed over the previous two decades. The Institute therefore proposed to the Fund in October 1997 a four-year project that would constitute a major step toward the nationwide establishment of such Teachers Institutes. The Planning Team helped to prepare a Request for Proposals that would specify the criteria essential to the Institute approach, which would have to be met by any adaptation. Institute staff also developed the financial requirements and expectations that would be part of the Request for Proposals. The Institute’s proposal to the Fund envisaged that, on the basis of proposals for eight-month Planning Grants, a National Panel would
recommend to the Director of the Institute five or six sites that seemed most likely to deserve subsequent three-year support and that should therefore receive Planning Grants. During the balance of 1998 the Institute would work closely with those sites, providing a variety of assistance. There would be a July Intensive Session with National Seminars and other meetings to make possible first-hand experience of the Institute’s policies and procedures.

Three-year Implementation Grants would then be awarded to three sites, by the same procedure as before. Those sites would work closely with the Institute during the period from 1998 through 2001 as they prepared and launched their own partnerships and their own annual seminars. They would maintain the Institute’s basic principles but would adapt their scopes and strategies to fit their own resources and the needs of their specific locations. The Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute would collaborate with the new Teachers Institutes to provide continuing Directors’ meetings, a National Steering Committee of teachers, and a University Faculty Advisory Committee, as well as another July Intensive Session in 1999, and three October Conferences in 1999, 2000, and 2001 to share the ongoing challenges and results.

Because the ground work of the organization at each of the demonstration sites had to continue their programs after the close of the demonstration periods, and students in those communities would be part of an expandable network of Teachers Institutes, much of the influence would be maintained at the demonstration sites, and the Teachers Institutes would be part of that network of support.

After the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, there were seventeen sites to submit proposals for planning grants. The Institute activated an Implementation Grant Committee, which consists of Yale faculty and New Haven school administrators, to help coordinate the activities and with making further site visits and to provide advice and guidance on the organization of the National Seminars in New Haven. In April 1999, in New Haven, the Teachers Institute conducted a round of site visits and held an orientation meeting for the new sites to discuss the ongoing challenges and results.

In December, after the review of the proposals, on the advice of the Program Review Committee and the Teachers Institute’s staff, the Institute selected five new sites for Planning Grants. The Institute worked closely with each of these sites to provide ongoing support and resources.

In May 1999, the Teachers Institute held a planning retreat at Yale University with the five new site directors and the Teachers Institute staff to review the progress of the new sites and to assess the relevance of the planning process.

In December, after the review of the proposals, on the advice of the Program Review Committee and the Teachers Institute’s staff, the Institute selected five new sites for Planning Grants. The Institute worked closely with each of these sites to provide ongoing support and resources.
the five or six sites that seemed year support and that should the balance of 1998 the sessions, providing a variety of Session with National accessible first-hand experience of would then be awarded to those sites would work from 1998 through 2001 as institutes and their own annual site’s basic principles but would own resources and the (New Haven Teachers Teachers Institutes to provide Steering Committee of Policy Committee, as well as three October Conferences examining challenges and results.

Because the ground would be prepared for a self-sustaining organization at each of the demonstration sites, they could be expected to continue their programs after the completion of the Grant period. The National Demonstration Project would not only benefit the teachers and students in those communities; it would also establish a potentially expandable network of Teachers Institutes that should have a significant impact upon education reform throughout this nation. The entire process would be documented by persons at the Teachers Institute and at the demonstration sites, and by an external evaluation to be commissioned by the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund.

After the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute was awarded the four-year Implementation Grant by the Fund in March 1998, it invited fourteen sites to submit proposals for 8-month Planning Grants. It also activated an Implementation Team, drawn from its Planning Team, which consists of Yale faculty members, New Haven teachers, and New Haven school administrators. The Implementation Team was charged with making further site visits during the term of the Grant and assisting with the organization of the National Seminars and the holding of other sessions in New Haven. In April, at a voluntary Information Session in New Haven, the Teachers Institute offered further explanations of its policies and procedures. In June a National Panel considered the applications for Planning Grants. On recommendation of the Panel and on the advice of the Program Officer from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, the Institute awarded Planning Grants to five applicants. It then asked the sites that received Planning Grants to send teams comprised of a Planning Director, university faculty members, and teachers to the July Intensive Session in New Haven. During this ten-day event three National Seminars, other meetings, and written projects for Planning Directors and university faculty members enabled each site to assess the relevance of the New Haven experience to its own needs and resources.

In December, again on recommendation of the National Panel and on the advice of the program officer of the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, the Teachers Institute awarded Implementation Grants to the four partnerships that had applied for them. The inclusion of four sites, instead of the three originally envisioned in the Teachers Institute’s
proposal to the DeWitt Wallace-Reader's Digest Fund, was made possible in part by a supplementary grant of $150,000 by the McCune Charitable Foundation. These new Teachers Institutes had all committed themselves to the basic principles of the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, as set forth in the Request for Proposals and repeated in slightly condensed form in the Appendix to this brochure. They now set in motion the planning for their first annual offering of seminars in 1999, and the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute began to work with them on their plans for the coming years. In 1999 all five Institutes are coming together in New Haven for a January Orientation Session, another July Intensive Session (on this occasion with four National Seminars), and an October Conference to share their various areas of major accomplishment.
A LEAGUE OF TEACHERS INSTITUTES

Each of the five Teachers Institutes now established has a distinctive pattern of needs and resources. Each is at a somewhat different stage of development and illustrates a somewhat different pattern of relationship to local resources, institutional apparatus, and state mandates. Each may therefore serve as a somewhat different example for the establishment of Teachers Institutes elsewhere in the United States. All four of the new Teachers Institutes are serving school systems that are considerably larger than that of New Haven, and each must also deal with certain of the serious problems associated with low-income communities and a high proportion of racial and ethnic diversity. They illustrate a variety of institutional arrangements. The institutions of higher education include: in Pittsburgh a partnership between a private university focused upon the sciences and a small liberal arts college; in Houston a state-supported urban university; in Albuquerque a flagship state university; and in Irvine a university that is part of a larger state system and is collaborating with the nearby school district of Santa Ana.

In contrast to the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, the new Teachers Institutes will show how a professional development program in the humanities and sciences can exist in harmony with a school or department of Education. They also illustrate different ways of providing for a full-time directorship, and they are adopting an array of different scopes and strategies directed toward having a significant impact upon a large school district. The following sections of this brochure will provide basic information about each Teachers Institute, sketch the programs being carried out during 1999, and describe more fully the arrangements for communication, dissemination, documentation, and evaluation.
THE YALE-NEW HAVEN TEACHERS INSTITUTE

The Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute brings the resources of Yale University to an entire school district in which 45 schools serve nearly 20,000 students. More than 60 percent of the students come from families receiving public assistance, and 85 percent are either African-American or Hispanic. There are about 1,000 teachers eligible for participation in the Institute. During its twenty-one years of existence, the Institute has offered 129 seminars to 451 individual teachers, many of whom have participated for more than one year. Thus far the teachers have created 1,171 curriculum units. Currently, 35 percent of New Haven high school teachers of subjects in the humanities and sciences, 22 percent of transitional school teachers, 34 percent of middle school teachers, and 15 percent of elementary school teachers have completed successfully at least one year of the Institute. Over the years, a total of 100 Yale faculty members, about half of whom are current or recently retired members of the faculty, have participated in the Institute by giving talks or leading one or more seminars. The founding Director of the Institute is James R. Vivian.

For the duration of the Grant from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute will have a dual relationship to the four other Teachers Institutes. It is both monitor of the Grant and a senior colleague. It offers technical assistance to the other Teachers Institutes, convenes the October Conferences, maintains the National Steering Committee and the National Faculty Advisory Council, sponsors the national periodical On Common Ground, and helps in other ways to further the aims of the entire League of Teachers Institutes. At the same time, it encourages each of the other Teachers Institutes to develop both a necessary independence and a collaborative spirit. Its aim is to assist in transforming the group of five Teachers Institutes into a fully collaborative league that might in the future extend its membership to include Institutes at yet other sites.

In 1999, the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute offered seven seminars for 74 teachers: “Women’s Voices in Fiction” (Laura M. Green, Assistant Professor of English); “How Do You Know? The
The Teachers Institute will have a dual institutes. It is both monitor of technical assistance to the October Conferences, maintains National Faculty Advisory On Common Ground, and the entire League of Teachers as each of the other Teachers independence and a collaborative the group of five Teachers that might in the future extend other sites.

The Teachers Institute offered seven courses in Fiction” (Laura M. How Do You Know? The

Experimental Basis for Chemical Knowledge” (J. Michael McBride, Professor of Chemistry); “Art and Identity in Mexico, from the Olmec to Modern Times” (Mary Miller, Vincent J. Scully Professor of History of Art); “Immigration and American Life” (Rogers M. Smith, Alfred Cowles Professor of Government); “Human-Environmental Relations” (John P. Wargo, Associate Professor of Environmental Risk Analysis and Policy); “Electronics in the 20th Century” (Robert G. Wheeler, Harold Hodgkinson Professor Emeritus of Engineering and Applied Science); and “Detective Fiction: Its Use as Literature and as History” (Robin W. Winks, Randolph W. Townsend, Jr., Professor of History). During the July Intensive Session it also offered four National Seminars for teachers from the four sites in the National Demonstration Project. The National Seminars included shortened versions of “Art and Identity in Mexico, from the Olmec to Modern Times,” “Immigration and American Life,” and “Human-Environmental Relations,” and a fourth seminar, “Writing from Several Cultures” (Thomas R. Whitaker, Frederick W. Hilles Professor Emeritus of English).
THE PITTSBURGH TEACHERS INSTITUTE

The Pittsburgh Teachers Institute brings the resources of Chatham College and Carnegie Mellon University to a selected portion of a school district with 93 schools serving 41,000 students. Chatham brings to the collaboration with the Pittsburgh Public Schools the strengths of a small liberal arts college; Carnegie Mellon brings those of a university with a strong program in the sciences. Both institutions have previously worked with the schools—Carnegie Mellon, for example, sponsoring a program in the teaching of science, and Chatham maintaining a program in teacher certification. This is the first occasion, however, when the two institutions have collaborated on a project in partnership with the schools.

This Teachers Institute will work with 20 elementary, middle, and high schools, representing the three regions of the district, which have volunteered to take part. Helen Faison, an experienced teacher and school administrator and former chair of the Education Department at Chatham College, serves as Director, with the assistance of Barbara Lazarus, Vice-Provost at Carnegie Mellon, and Anne Steele, Vice-President at Chatham, who will help in the relations between those two institutions. During Helen Faison’s absence as interim-Superintendent of Schools, John Groch, an Assistant Professor of Communications at Chatham College, will serve as Acting Director.

In 1999, this Institute offered four seminars for 39 teachers: “Newspapers: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow” (James Davidson, Adjunct Professor of English, Carnegie Mellon University); “American Culture in the 1950s” (John Groch, Assistant Professor of Communication, Chatham College); “Physics, Energy, and Environmental Issues” (Richard Holman, Professor of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University); and “Multicultural Literature: French African and Creole Writers” (Janet Walker, Professor of French and Chair, Department of Modern Languages, Chatham College).

THE HOUSTON TEACHERS INSTITUTE

In the fourth largest city in the United States, the Houston Teachers Institute brings the resources of the Texas Southern University, Rice University, and the University of Houston to a selected portion of the Greater Houston area. The Houston Teachers Institute was established in 1986 by a grant from the National Science Foundation to improve the teaching of science in Houston’s inner-city schools. The Institute’s emphasis on hands-on, interactive, inquiry-based learning has been adapted to include mathematics, English, and other subjects, as well as science. The Institute’s program emphasizes the Common Ground approach, which is a set of standards for science education that was developed by a group of scientists, educators, and policymakers. The Institute’s director is Dr. John P. Lattanzio, who has been a visiting professor at the University of Houston.

In 1999, this Institute offered four seminars for 39 teachers: “Newspapers: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow” (James Davidson, Adjunct Professor of English, Carnegie Mellon University); “American Culture in the 1950s” (John Groch, Assistant Professor of Communication, Chatham College); “Physics, Energy, and Environmental Issues” (Richard Holman, Professor of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University); and “Multicultural Literature: French African and Creole Writers” (Janet Walker, Professor of French and Chair, Department of Modern Languages, Chatham College).
Institute brings the resources of the University of Houston to the Houston Independent School District, whose 280 schools serve 212,000 students. The University of Houston is a state-supported research and teaching institution that draws most of its students from the Greater Houston area. The Houston Teachers Institute builds upon the experience of the Common Ground project at the University, directed first by James Pipkin and then by William Monroe, which assisted high school teachers in expanding the canon of literary texts that are taught in English classes. The late Michael Cooke, a Yale faculty member and participant in the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, had served as an advisor for that project.

The Houston Teachers Institute works with 20 self-selected middle and high schools enrolling 31,300 students to establish a program that will address the needs of an ethnically mixed student-body, a large proportion of whom are non-English speaking. Paul Cooke, who has been a Visiting Assistant Professor of Political Science, serves as Director.

In 1999, this Institute offered six seminars for 75 teachers: “Symmetry, Patterns, and Designs” (Michael Field, Professor of Mathematics); “Hollywood Distortions of History” (Garth Jowett, Professor of Communication); “The United States in the 1960s” (Lawrence Curry, Assistant Professor of History and Associate Dean); “Technology and the Discipline of Chemistry” (Simon G. Bott, Research Associate Professor of Chemistry); “The History, Economic Base, and Politics of Houston” (Richard Murray, Professor of Political Science); and “Addressing Evil” (Cynthia A. Freeland, Professor of Philosophy).
THE ALBUQUERQUE TEACHERS INSTITUTE

To a selected portion of a district that serves 85,800 students in 121 schools and enrolls a high percentage of Hispanic students from low-income families, the Albuquerque Teachers Institute brings the resources of the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of New Mexico, the flagship state institution of higher education. The University has long worked with the schools through its College of Education and a variety of teacher training programs.

The Albuquerque Teachers Institute seeks to focus upon the high attrition rate in the schools, and has therefore selected 21 middle and high schools where that problem is most serious. It also seeks to establish the relevance and interest of its program for both teachers and students by focusing on topics that link the Southwest and contemporary issues. The Co-Directors of the Albuquerque Teachers Institute are Wanda Martin, who has administered the Freshman English courses at the University of New Mexico, and (for the first seven months of 1999) Laura Cameron, who has administered the Freshman Mathematics courses at the University. Douglas Earick, a teacher of science in the Albuquerque Public Schools, has now succeeded Laura Cameron as Co-Director.

In 1999, this Institute offered four seminars for 36 teachers: “Archaeoastronomy” (Michael Zeilik, Professor of Astronomy); “Environmental Impacts of Human Settlement and Urbanization on the Albuquerque Region” (Leslie D. McFadden, Professor of Earth and Planetary Sciences); “Architecture in the Southwest” (Anne Taylor, Professor of Architecture); and “Political Culture in New Mexico” (Phillip B. Gonzales, Associate Professor of Sociology).

THE UCI-SANTA ANA TEACHERS INSTITUTE

To Santa Ana, a city with nearly 85% Hispanic students, most of whom have only attended a façade of education, the Santa Ana Teachers Institute brings the resources of the University of California at Irvine (UCI), in variety of ways with school systems, as a part of the state university system. The Institute curriculum units work with schools where the majority of students are Mexican-American. The Director of the UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute is Dr. Fombi Bayati, who has been the Part-time Director of the Santa Ana Unified School System, and was previously the National Director of the National Programs of the National Science Foundation.

In 1999, this Institute offered three seminars for 30 teachers: “Cultural and Educational Transformations in the Southwest” (Jean-Claude Plantaz, Professor of English and Comparative Literature); “Computer Science” (Jean-Claude Plantaz, Professor of Computer Science); “The (Re)presentation of Media” (Thelma Foo, Acting Director of African American Studies in Theory, Research, and Practice) and “Psychology and Social Behavior” (Dominick Zulauf, Professor of Criminal Justice and U.S. National Identity through the Association of Hispanic Studies) and “Political Science” (Thelma Foo, Acting Director of African American Studies in Theory, Research, and Practice).
THE UCI-SANTA ANA TEACHERS INSTITUTE

To Santa Ana, a city with nearly 50 schools serving 53,800 students, a majority of whom have only a limited knowledge of English, the UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute brings the resources of the nearby University of California at Irvine. The University has long worked in a variety of ways with school systems in several neighboring districts, in large part now through its Center for Educational Partnerships.

The UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute focuses on a selected 26 elementary, middle, and high schools, representing all four areas of the Santa Ana system. There is here a special opportunity to show that Institute curriculum units work well in a mainly Hispanic environment where the majority of students have limited fluency in English. The Director of the UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute is Barbara Kuhn Al-Bayati, who has been the Partnership Liaison in the Center for Educational Partnerships at the University.

In 1999, this Institute offered six seminars for 52 teachers: “Myths and Their Transformations” (Julia Reinhard Lupton, Associate Professor of English and Comparative Literature); “Discrete Mathematics and Computer Science” (Jean-Claude Falmagne, Professor of Cognitive Sciences, and Stephen Franklin, Lecturer in Information and Computer Science); “The (Re)presentation of History in Film and Video: Narrative and Media” (Thelma Foote, Associate Professor of History and Acting Director of African American Studies); “The Hardy Personality in Theory, Research, and Practice” (Salvatore Maddi, Professor of Psychology and Social Behavior); “Law and Morality” (John Dombriel, Professor of Criminology, Law, and Society); and “Theorizing U.S. National Identity through Multicultural Texts” (Lindon Barrett, Associate Professor of English and Comparative Literature).
COMMUNICATION AND DISSEMINATION

The League of Teachers Institutes will comprise a network of communication. A range of technical assistance, which will include site visits, meetings of the Directors, and advice on specific problems, will be provided to the new Teachers Institutes by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute. Each year those Teachers Institutes will provide reports, described in the section on Documentation and Evaluation, to the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute. There will also be lateral communication among the new Teachers Institutes and common work to be accomplished by all five Institutes.

The National Steering Committee, which consists of a teacher from each Institute, will take major initiative in planning this common work and encouraging communication among teachers from the five sites. It will be complemented by the National Faculty Advisory Council, which consists of a faculty member from each Institute. There has been established an electronic Teachers Institute Faculty Forum to facilitate communication among faculty members from the five sites (tfat@yale.edu), and a similar forum is planned for the teachers. The National Steering Committee and the National Faculty Advisory Council will assist planning committees in 1999, 2000, and 2001. Those for sharing of accomplishments and additional funding can be obtained and possibly another such Conference, bringing together representatives of educational, funding, and policy Conferences would be an important part of the National Demonstration Project, a second phase of Teachers Institutes.

The web site of the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute makes available the publications curriculum units that have been being established by other Institutes, or them. A developing electronic more closely. If additional funding exists for the establishment of a National Demonstration Project, not only a communications hub, but an important continuing means of communication, other Teachers Institutes should benefit. For will be able to disseminate the knowledge and experiences of the opportunity and overcome, and the model of the Teachers Institutes. Such an approach further to expand this League of
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will assist planning committees in arranging the October Conferences in 1999, 2000, and 2001. Those Conferences will provide opportunity for sharing of accomplishments and challenges across the sites. If additional funding can be obtained, the October Conference in 2001 and possibly another such Conference in 2002 could become national in scope, bringing together representatives from various sectors of the educational, funding, and policy-making communities. Such National Conferences would be an important step in disseminating the results of the National Demonstration Project and encouraging the establishment of a second phase of Teachers Institutes in other cities.

The web site of the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute already makes available the publications of this Institute, including all of the curriculum units that have been written. Comparable web sites are now being established by other Institutes and will provide further links among them. A developing electronic network will therefore link the Institutes more closely. If additional funding can be obtained, the opportunity exists for the establishment of a national web site dedicated to the National Demonstration Project as an entity. Such a web site would be not only a communications hub for the work of the Project but also an important continuing means of disseminating its results to the nation. If other Teachers Institutes should be established, this web site would be of even greater importance as a national center of information on university-school partnerships.

The periodical *Common Ground* is potentially an important means of disseminating the results of the National Demonstration Project. Number 9, already planned for Winter 1999/2000, will contain articles by persons from each of the sites on some aspect of the process of establishing a Teachers Institute and meeting the needs of an urban school district. If funding can be obtained for two numbers in each of the three years of the National Demonstration Project, *Common Ground* will be able to provide a detailed account for a national readership of the opportunities seized, the obstacles encountered and overcome, and the major accomplishments of the four new Teachers Institutes. Such an account would be invaluable in the attempt further to expand this League of Teachers Institutes.
DOCUMENTATION AND EVALUATION

Internal Documentation and Evaluation

Each of the new Teachers Institutes will submit to the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute interim financial reports, and both annual and final narrative and financial reports. The Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute will submit to the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund both annual and final narrative and financial reports that will synthesize and assess the information provided by the sites.

These reports will describe the scope, strategy, demonstration goals, and progress of the new Teachers Institutes. They will include evidence that the new Institutes remain in accord with the basic principles of the Teachers Institute approach. They will describe the curriculum units developed, the relationship between participating school teachers and university faculty, the nature and extent of leadership exerted by teacher-participants, the incentives for university faculty members and school teachers to participate, and the assistance from the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute that was needed, obtained, and used. They will include an analysis of the participation of school teachers in Institute activities, using surveys and other instruments developed by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute and modified as needed to make possible comparisons across the five partnerships. They will analyze the factors contributing to, and hindering, the success of the new Institutes, and the effects of those Institutes upon teacher empowerment, curricular change, and other issues central to school reform. They will also give an account of the progress made toward funding the new Institutes beyond the period of this Grant. At least once during the Grant period, annual reports will also include surveys of the use of curriculum units by Fellows and non-Fellows in the school systems. The final report from the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute will summarize the three-year demonstration, make clear the most important outcomes, impacts, and lessons learned, describe how the demonstration has changed and how we may address the issues it has posed, and indicate the plans at each site for continuing the partnership.

External Evaluation

The DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, Studies Associates, a research organization based in Washington, D.C., to evaluate the impact of the Teacher Institutes and their partner schools. The evaluation will examine the experiences of teachers at the universities and their partner schools in the years 1999-2002.

The Fund is supporting the evaluation to accomplish two goals: to assess the development of teachers by universities and public school systems, and to assess the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute approach and enable others to decide whether the same approach might work in their own communities. The Fund-commissioned evaluation will answer the questions that the schools and universities pose about the National Demonstration Project and that are used to create Teachers Institutes.

Over the course of their work, the evaluators are documenting the following:

- The experiences and outcomes for teachers and administrators who participate in the Institutes;
- The recruitment process for teachers to participate in the Institutes;
- The educational partnership sites and their partner schools;
- The benefits that teachers and administrators gain from membership in the Institutes;
- The cost of establishing and operating Teachers Institutes;
- Additional information about the experiences of teachers in the universities and school districts.
VALUATION

External Evaluation

The DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund has contracted with Policy Studies Associates, a research and social policy firm based in Washington, D.C., to evaluate the National Demonstration Project. The evaluation will examine the implementation of Teachers Institutes at universities and their partner schools participating in the project from 1999-2002.

The Fund is supporting the National Demonstration Project and its evaluation to accomplish two goals: to contribute to the professional development of teachers by supporting partnerships between universities and public school systems that draw upon the experiences of the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute; and to gather information that will enable others to decide whether to build similar partnerships using their own resources. The Fund-commissioned evaluation will provide universities and public school systems throughout the nation with answers to the questions that they are likely to have about the utility of the National Demonstration Project as a source of ideas that they could use to create Teachers Institutes in their communities.

Over the course of their work, researchers will focus on examining and documenting the following:

- The experiences and perceptions of teachers who participate in the Institutes, as well as school administrators who interact with the Institute;
- The recruitment process for participating teachers;
- The educational partnerships between the university sites and their partner schools and districts;
- The benefits that teachers gain from participating in the Institutes;
- The cost of establishing a Teachers Institute;
- Additional information to assist other interested universities and school systems in establishing their own Teachers Institutes.
DESIRED OUTCOMES

If successful, the National Demonstration Project will show that it is feasible to adapt the approach of the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute to the pattern and magnitude of needs and resources at other sites where school systems serve students from low-income communities. It will show that, without varying from the basic principles of the Institute approach, a range of appropriate scopes and strategies can be devised that will help to meet the distinctive needs of cities much larger than New Haven. It will show the possibility of sustainable Teachers Institutes in a variety of institutional contexts, with the participation of liberal arts colleges, private universities, and state universities, acting individually or in a consortium. And it will show how institutions that have long had departments or schools of Education may now devote some of their energy to providing seminars for teachers in the liberal arts and sciences.

The National Demonstration Project has already established a League of Teachers Institutes from coast to coast, which now serves its members as an agency for collaborative activities and mutual support, and which can also serve as the organizational basis for the establishment of yet other Teachers Institutes. Within such Institutes the teachers are finding a greater creative responsibility for their own curricula, and they are finding an opportunity to exercise leadership and judgment in sustaining the program of seminars that provides a continuing professional development. Within such Institutes the university faculty members are also recognizing more fully their responsibility for teaching at all levels in their own communities. As this occurs, both school teachers and university faculty members are discovering their true collegiality in the ongoing process of learning and teaching. And they are realizing both the opportunities and the responsibilities that follow from their membership in a larger community devoted to the educational welfare of the young people of this nation.

If successful, the National Demonstration Project will not only have established four new Teachers Institutes that sustain themselves after the conclusion of the Grant from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s
The DeWitt Wallace-Reader's Digest Fund; it will also have made clear the importance and the viability of the principles upon which these Institutes are based. It will have shown that these principles can contribute to the most important kind of school reform—the improvement of teaching itself. And it will have provided the foundation for the expansion of some Teachers Institutes and the establishment of yet other Teachers Institutes in cities across the nation.
EXPANSION AND AFFILIATION

The expansion of existing Teachers Institutes in large cities may occur through a step-by-step process of scaling up, as more school teachers and university faculty become interested in participating, and as increased funding allows the offering of more seminars. A Teachers Institute may begin in this way to expand its scope of operation within a city. When the resources of a single institution of higher education are not adequate to meet the needs of a large school district, it may prove desirable to expand the partnership. There seems a possibility, for example, of expanding the partnership between Chatham College and Carnegie Mellon University to include other institutions in Pittsburgh. It also may be possible at some point for the Houston Teachers Institute to draw upon faculty from other institutions of higher education in Houston. But there are also opportunities for other kinds of expansion within a given scope. Teachers Institutes may wish to establish Centers for Professional and Curricular Development in the schools, as has been done in New Haven, which may bring to a larger number of classroom teachers the work of Fellows in the Institute. Through such Centers they may wish to establish Academies in summer or after school, as has also been done in New Haven, in which teachers may collaboratively shape a curriculum for selected students on the basis of their work in the Institute.

There are also different ways in which new Teachers Institutes might be established at other sites. Additional funding on a national level could enable, in one or more phases, the expansion of the existing League of Teachers Institutes. This process would make it possible for the new Institutes to receive technical assistance and collaborative support from those already established. Additional funding on a local level might enable the establishment of a new Teachers Institute that would be free-standing but would have the opportunity to affiliate itself with the existing League. By either route or both at once, a larger number of urban school districts and institutions of higher education might join together to form a network of Teachers Institutes that could become a major force in the reform and revitalizing of teaching and learning in this country.

APPENDIX: BASIC PRINCIPLES

The following principles, fundamentally developed by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, have undergone slight condensation from the Research and Development Grants. They are included here for guidance for each of the four new Teachers Institutes. Although the principles are interrelated and develop a unified approach.

1) The new Institute links an elementary or secondary education to a school district (or districts). The major role of the students come from local school districts. The Institute has a distinctive plan for an adaptation of the local situation and an educational problem that may be developed using an educational problem that may be developed using the resources of the local school district(s), the state, and the expected funding.

2) A continuing, full-time director (or directors) provided by the Institute or by a liaison between the district(s) and an institution(s) of higher education, works with the chief officers of the institute to develop programming, recruit faculty from various parts of the country, and provide professional development for the Fellows.

3) The Institute is led in crucial decisions by Fellows, who play a major and indispensable role in the conduct, and evaluation of the program. The Fellows, through them, their students. They are involved in all decisions with respect to seminars and other activities, and the program is feasible and appropriate by university faculty, by the director. The seminars are specifically designed to meet Fellows' interests and needs for further development. The Fellows are not students, but are considered full members of the university, to which they are taking a seminar.
APPENDIX: BASIC PRINCIPLES

The following principles, fundamental to the approach that has been developed by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, are quoted with slight condensation from the Request for Proposals for Implementation Grants. They are included here because they are the guiding principles for each of the four new Teachers Institutes. Although listed as separate principles, they are interrelated elements of an organically unified approach.

1) The new Institute links an institution or institutions of higher education to a school district (or districts) in which a significant proportion of the students come from low-income communities. It offers a distinctive plan for an adaptation of the Institute's approach, addressing an educational problem that may be appropriately addressed by that approach. The size, scope, and emphasis of the adaptation depend upon the needs of the district(s), the educational resources available, and the expected funding.

2) A continuing, full-time director (or, if approved, two half-time directors) provided by the Institute serves as convenor, administrator, liaison between the district(s) and the administration and faculty of the institution(s) of higher education, and fund-raiser. The director reports to the chief officers of the institution(s) and the district(s), and is able to recruit faculty from various parts of the institution(s) of higher education.

3) The Institute is led in crucial respects by teachers in the district(s), who play a major and indispensable role in the planning, organization, conduct, and evaluation of the programs intended to benefit them and, through them, their students. They are involved in initiating and approving decisions with respect to seminars offered, within the scope determined as feasible and appropriate by university and school district administrators and the director. The seminars are special offerings designed to address the Fellows’ interests and needs for further preparation and curriculum development. The Fellows are not students in university courses. Rather, they are considered full members of the university community during the year in which they are taking a seminar.
4) There is a pool of teachers in the schools prepared to play a leading role in planning, organizing, sustaining, and evaluating the new Institute. They are responsible for recruiting other teachers into the program. There is also a pool of faculty members from the liberal arts and/or sciences in the institution(s) of higher education who teach at the undergraduate and/or graduate levels and who are prepared to lead seminars, advise in the shaping of curriculum, and endorse the curriculum offered by the Institute. If faculty members from departments, schools, or colleges of Education are involved in the Institute’s program, they should indicate their readiness to lead seminars that focus primarily upon “content” rather than “pedagogy.” All teacher-leaders and university faculty members should understand the distinctive nature of such collaborative work and should be eager and willing to participate in it.

5) Policies within the school district(s) pertaining to curriculum and professional development (as established by the state, the school board, the union, or specific administrators) must be conducive to the development of the Institute, or at least not incompatible with it.

6) The curriculum will consist of intensive long-term seminars in several disciplines on broadly defined topics (meeting over a period of months) in which the seminar leader and the Fellows will study and discuss certain common texts, objects, or places and each Fellow will prepare a substantial “curriculum unit” that he or she intends to employ in the classroom during the following year. This curriculum unit will consist of an essay on the material to be presented in the classroom and the pedagogical strategies to be employed, followed by several lesson plans, which are examples of those to be used by the teacher, and an annotated bibliography. The curriculum units may bear a variety of relationships to the general topic of the seminar, appropriate to the grade-level and the aims of the teacher. They will have immediate application in the classroom, and they will be consistent with the curricular guidelines provided by district or school that are to be followed by the teacher.

7) Participating teachers from the institution(s) of higher education and the schools are considered professional colleagues working within a collegial relationship. Seminar leaders and Fellows understand that all participants bring to the seminar and knowledge, with respect to the relevance to the classroom.

8) Although the seminar leading to the seminar the “content” or the seminar itself will at appropriate and of the procedures needs. That consideration, to which the Fellows must be important in establishing the collegial relationship.

9) To strengthen teaching at a new Institute must involve a significant designated scope and must the Fellows have not participated before. The designated scope and make clear the proportion of the teachers within it.

10) Within its designated scope, a teacher to apply who has a teaching topic, can present a proposal for a seminar and will be assigned to teach a course. he makes every effort to ensure that the Institute represents a cross-section of the teachers regardless of background, professional experience.

11) In order to recognize the professionally significant nature of their work, seminar leaders will be provided with Fellows, who participate on a voluntary some appropriate honorarium and stipend for participating school teachers, therefore not to be viewed as subject.

12) The institutional and district, a continuing collaboration with each other, the basis of this plan and also to it
participants bring to the seminar important strengths, both experience and knowledge, with respect to the seminar topic and/or its potential relevance to the classroom.

8) Although the seminar leaders are primarily responsible for presenting to the seminar the “content” or “knowledge” of one or more disciplines, the seminar itself will at appropriate points involve consideration both of that content and of the procedures necessary to present it in the classroom. That consideration, to which the Fellows will bring their own experience, is important in establishing the collegiality in the seminar.

9) To strengthen teaching and learning throughout the schools, the new Institute must involve a significant proportion of all teachers within its designated scope and must therefore actively recruit teachers who have not participated before. The Institute must have a rationale for the designated scope and make clear how it will involve a significant proportion of the teachers within that scope.

10) Within its designated scope, the Institute encourages any teacher to apply who has a teaching assignment relevant to a seminar topic, can present a proposal for a curriculum unit relevant to that topic, and will be assigned to teach a course in which that unit can be used. It makes every effort to ensure that the pool of teachers applying to the Institute represents a cross-section of all eligible teachers. Its program should attract teachers regardless of age, ethnicity, gender, academic background, professional experience, and length of time in teaching.

11) In order to recognize the intensive, demanding, and professionally significant nature of their participation in the seminars, the seminar leaders will be provided with some remuneration, and the Fellows, who participate on a voluntary basis, will be provided with some appropriate honorarium and/or stipend. This honorarium or stipend for participating school teachers is not salary or wages and is therefore not to be viewed as subject to any conditions of employment.

12) The institutional and district administrations are committed to a continuing collaboration with each other during the Grant period on the basis of this plan and also to its extension beyond the Grant period.
13) There will be ongoing financial support from both the institution(s) of higher education and the school district(s). They are committed to provide or seek necessary supplementary funding for the duration of the Grant, and have plans to seek entire funding thereafter.

14) Because each new Institute is a “demonstration site,” making clear the advantages and difficulties of adapting the Institute approach to another situation, there will be an explicit and visible relation between the new Institutes and the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute.

15) Each new Institute is committed to communicating with the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute and with the other new Institutes, and to disseminating their experience of the adaptation in various ways to other potential and actual Institutes across the nation. The means of communication may include personal visits, e-mail, news groups, online chats, text-based forums, etc., and will also include written accounts by the new Institutes for publication in On Common Ground.

16) The new Institutes are committed to undertaking at their own cost, in cooperation with the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, an annual review of the progress of the project. They assume responsibility for their continuing self-evaluation, in cooperation with the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute. They will provide the staff, the Implementation Team of New Haven colleagues, and other documenters that may be sent by that Institute and by the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund with full access to their activities and their documentation, including school and university personnel and sites. Each new Institute should anticipate the possibility that significant failure to reach stated goals of the demonstration, or to maintain it in accordance with the conditions agreed upon, could result in the termination of the funding. Each new Institute will submit annual reports to the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute that provide:

- a systematic description of the new Institute and its activities, including ways that it has adapted the New Haven approach, the process by which it was established, how that process has unfolded over time, and the progress made toward the goals of the demonstration;

- evidence that the new Institute is adhering to the basic principles of the New Haven approach;

- an analysis of the incentives that motivate university faculty members to participate;

- the cost of operating the new Institute, as specified in the financial plan, documentation of other financial support, and the availability of local support;

- an analysis of data on the Institute activities;

- a summary description of the models developed by participating teachers and university faculty members, and the outcomes of their participation;

- an account of the assistance provided by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, including grants and used;

- a description of the relationship among the participating teachers and university faculty members;

- an analysis of the factors that may be hindering, the success of the demonstration;

- an analysis of the effects of the Institute’s teacher empowerment, curriculum development, and other issues central to school reform.

Using surveys and other instruments developed by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, each new Institute will conduct an annual analysis of the teachers who apply; the representation of the entire pool of teachers eligible to apply;
...evidence that the new Institute is faithful to each of the basic principles of the New Haven approach;

- indication of the incentives at the new Institute for university faculty members and school teachers to participate;

- the cost of operating the Institute, set forth in detail as specified in the financial reporting requirements; a documentation of other funds allocated to the Institute; and the availability of long-term funding sources;

- an analysis of data on the participation of teachers in Institute activities;

- a summary description of the curriculum units developed by participating teachers, with information about the teachers' use of the Units and any other outcomes of their participation;

- an account of the assistance from the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute that was needed, obtained, and used;

- a description of the relationship between participating teachers and university faculty;

- an analysis of the factors contributing to, and hindering, the success of the new Institute;

- and an analysis of the effects of the new Institute upon teacher empowerment, curricular change, and other issues central to school reform.

Using surveys and other instruments developed by the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute, each new Institute will document: the number of teachers who apply; the representativeness of those teachers vis-à-vis the entire pool of teachers eligible to participate; teachers' and faculty
members' assessments of the new Institute; and the classroom use to which teachers put the curriculum units. The new Institutes will work with the Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute to make whatever changes in the instruments may be needed to adapt them so that the results will be comparable across the different demonstration sites.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Pittsburgh Teachers Institute

Director: Helen Faison
Acting Director: John Groch

Address: Pittsburgh
          Chatham
          Woodland
          Pittsburgh

Phone: (412) 369-7388
Fax: (412) 369-7389
Email: groch@...

Pittsburgh Teachers Institute team meeting
(Clockwise from left: Verna Arnold, Margaret McMackin, Helen Faison, John Groch.)
CONTACT INFORMATION

Pittsburgh Teachers Institute

Director: Helen Faison (January-June 1999)
Acting Director: John Groch (July-December 1999)

address: Pittsburgh Teachers Institute
Chatham College
Woodland Road
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232

phone: (412) 365-1184
fax: (412) 365-1505

email: groch@chatham.edu

Pittsburgh Teachers Institute team meeting in New Haven, July 1999.
(Clockwise from left: Verna Arnold, Carol M. Petett, Patricia Y. Gordon,
Margaret McMackin, Helen Faison, James Davidson, Elizabeth Roark, and
John Groch.)
Houston Teachers Institute

Director: Paul Cooke

address: Houston Teachers Institute
c/o Department of Political Science
University of Houston
Houston, Texas 77204-3474

phone: (713) 743-3986
fax: (713) 743-3927

email: pcooke@bayou.uh.edu
web site: http://www.uh.edu/hti/

Albuquerque Teachers Institute

Co-directors: Wanda Martinez, Laura Gallegos, Douglas Rickert

address: Albuquerque Teachers Institute
2045 Mlk Jr. Blvd.
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM

phone: (505) 277-1230
fax: (505) 277-1231

email: wmartinez@unm.edu, earick@unm.edu
web site: abqteachers.org
Albuquerque Teachers Institute

Co-directors: Wanda Martin
Laura Cameron (January-June 1999)
Douglas Earick (July-December 1999)

address: Albuquerque Teachers Institute
2045 Mesa Vista Hall
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

phone: (505) 277-2794
fax: (505) 277-2796

email: wmartin@unm.edu
earick@aps.edu
abqteach@unm.edu

Albuquerque Teachers Institute team meeting in New Haven, July 1999.
(Clockwise from left: Lorraine B. Martinez, Les McFadden, Colston Chandler,
Jennifer D. Murphy, Felipe Gonzales, Tom R. Moore, Douglas Earick, Wanda
Martin, Susan Leonard, and Aaron Chávez.)
UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute

Director: Barbara Kuhn Al-Bayati

address: UCI-Santa Ana Teachers Institute
Center for Educational Partnerships
Room 600
Administration Building
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, California 92697-2500

phone: (949) 824-4145
fax: (949) 824-3599
email: bkalbaya@uci.edu

Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute

Director: James R. Hoge

address: Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute
53 Wall St.
P.O. Box 10041
New Haven, CT 06504

phone: (203) 434-8250
fax: (203) 434-8613
email: teachers@ynti.org
web site: http://www.ynti.org

Meeting of the Implementation Team for the California and New Haven Initiative (Clockwise from left: Sabatino M. Smith, Mary Stewart, Sheldon A. Ayers, Peter M. Smith, Liaison Patricia Lydon, Associate Director Annette R. Streets.)
Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute

Director: James R. Vivian

address: Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute
53 Wall Street
P.O. Box 203563
New Haven, CT 06520-3563

phone: (203) 432-1080
fax: (203) 432-1084

email: teachers@yale.edu

web site: http://www.yale.edu/ynhti/

Meeting of the Implementation Team of New Haven colleagues, July 1999.
(Clockwise from front left: Sabatino Sofia, Mary E. Miller, Jules D. Prown, Mary Stewart, Sheldon A. Ayers, Peter N. Herndon, Jean E. Sutherland, Rogers M. Smith, Liaison Patricia Lydon, Joseph Montagna, Thomas R. Whitaker, Assistant Director Annette R. Streets, and James R. Vivian.)
ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The National Demonstration Project was made possible by a grant from the DeWitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund and has been supported also by the McCune Charitable Foundation.